



Asymptotically exact minimax estimation in sup-norm for anisotropic Holder classes

Karine Bertin

► To cite this version:

Karine Bertin. Asymptotically exact minimax estimation in sup-norm for anisotropic Holder classes. 2003. hal-00160735

HAL Id: hal-00160735

<https://hal.science/hal-00160735>

Preprint submitted on 6 Jul 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Asymptotically exact minimax estimation in sup-norm for anisotropic Hölder classes

KARINE BERTIN

Laboratoire de Probabilité et Modèles Aléatoires, Université Paris 6, 4 place Jussieu, case 188, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France. Tel 0033-(0)1-44-27-85-10. Email: kbertin@ccr.jussieu.fr

We consider the Gaussian White Noise Model and we study the estimation of a function f in the uniform norm assuming that f belongs to a Hölder anisotropic class. We give the minimax rate of convergence over this class and we determine the minimax exact constant and an asymptotically exact estimator.

Key Words: anisotropic Hölder class, minimax exact constant, uniform norm, White Noise Model.

1 Introduction

Let $\{Y_t, t \in [0, 1]^d\}$, be a random process defined by the stochastic differential equation

$$dY_t = f(t)dt + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1]^d, \quad (1)$$

where f is an unknown function, $n > 1$, $\sigma > 0$ is known and W is a standard Brownian sheet in $[0, 1]^d$. We wish to estimate the function f given a realization $y = \{Y_t, t \in [0, 1]^d\}$. This is known as the Gaussian white noise problem and has been studied in several papers starting with Ibragimov and Hasminskii (1981). We suppose that f belongs to a d -dimensional anisotropic Hölder class $\Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)$ for $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d) \in (0, 1]^d$ and $L = (L_1, \dots, L_d)$ such that $0 < L_i < \infty$. This class is defined by :

$$\Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : |f(x) - f(y)| \leq L_1|x_1 - y_1|^{\beta_1} + \dots + L_d|x_d - y_d|^{\beta_d}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\},$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$.

In the following \mathbb{P}_f is the distribution of y under model (1) and \mathbb{E}_f is the corresponding expectation. We denote by $\bar{\beta}$ the real number $\bar{\beta} = (\sum_{i=1}^d 1/\beta_i)^{-1}$. Let $w(u)$, $u \geq 0$, be a continuous non-decreasing function which admits a polynomial majorant $w(u) \leq W_0(1 + u^\gamma)$ with some finite positive constants W_0 , γ and such that $w(0) = 0$.

Let \hat{f}_n be an estimator of f , i.e. a random function on $[0, 1]^d$ with values in \mathbb{R} measurable with respect to $\{Y_t, t \in [0, 1]^d\}$. The quality of \hat{f}_n is characterized by the maximal risk in sup-norm

$$R_n(\hat{f}_n) = \sup_{f \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)} \mathbb{E}_f w \left(\frac{\|\hat{f}_n - f\|_\infty}{\psi_n} \right),$$

where $\psi_n = \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{\frac{\bar{\beta}}{2\bar{\beta}+1}}$ and $\|g\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [0,1]^d} |g(t)|$. The normalizing factor ψ_n is used here because it is a minimax rate of convergence. For the one-dimensional case, the fact that ψ_n is the minimax rate for the sup-norm has been proved by Ibragimov and Hasminskii (1981). For the multidimensional case, this fact was shown by Stone (1982) and Nussbaum (1986) for the isotropic setting ($\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_d$), but it has not been shown for the anisotropic setting considered here. Nevertheless there exist results for estimation in \mathbb{L}_p norm with $p < \infty$ on anisotropic Besov classes Kerkycharian et al. (2001) suggesting similar rates but without a logarithmic factor. The case $p = 2$ has been treated by several authors (Neumann and von Sachs (1997), Barron et al. (1999)).

Our result implies in particular that ψ_n is the minimax rate of convergence for estimation in sup-norm. But we prove a stronger assertion: we find an estimator f_n^* and determine the minimax exact constant $C(\beta, L, \sigma^2)$ such that

$$C(\beta, L, \sigma^2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\hat{f}_n} R_n(\hat{f}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n(f_n^*), \quad (2)$$

where $\inf_{\hat{f}_n}$ stands for the infimum over all the estimators. Such an estimator f_n^* will be called asymptotically exact.

The problem of asymptotically exact constants under the sup-norm was first studied in the one-dimensional case by Korostelev (1993) for the regression model with fixed equidistant design. Korostelev found the exact constant and an asymptotically exact estimator for this set-up. Donoho (1994) extended Korostelev's result to the Gaussian white noise model and Hölder classes with $\beta > 1$. However asymptotically exact estimators are not available in the explicit form for $\beta > 1$, except for $\beta = 2$. Korostelev and Nussbaum (1999) found the exact constant and asymptotically exact estimator for the density model. Lepski (1992) studied the exact constant in the case of adaptation for the white noise model. In Bertin (2004) was found the exact constant and an asymptotically exact estimator for the regression model with random design.

The estimator f_n^* defined in Section 2 and which will be shown to satisfy (2) is a kernel estimator. For $d = 1$, the kernel used in our estimator (and defined in (3)) is the one derived by Korostelev (1993) and can be viewed as a solution of an optimal recovery problem. This is explained in Donoho (1994) and Lepski and Tsybakov (2000). For our set-up, i.e. the Gaussian white noise model and d -dimensional anisotropic Hölder class $\Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)$ for $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d) \in (0, 1]^d$ and $L \in (0, +\infty)^d$, the choice of optimal parameters of the estimator (i.e. kernel, bandwidth) is also related to a solution of optimal recovery problems. In the same way as in Donoho (1994), the kernel defined in (3) can be expressed, up to a renormalization on the support, as

$$K(t) = \frac{f_\beta(t)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\beta(s) ds},$$

where f_β is the solution of the optimization problem

$$\max_{\substack{\|f\|_2 \leq 1 \\ f \in \Sigma(\beta, \mathbf{1})}} f(0),$$

where we denote $\|f\|_2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2(t) dt\right)^{1/2}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ is the vector $(1, \dots, 1)$ in \mathbb{R}^d .

The anisotropic class of functions in this paper does not turn into a traditional isotropic Lipschitz class in the case $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_d$. For an isotropic class defined as

$$\left\{ f : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : |f(x) - f(y)| \leq L \|x - y\|^\beta, x, y \in [0, 1]^d \right\},$$

with $\beta \in (0, 1]$, $L > 0$ and $\|\cdot\|$ the Euclidian norm in \mathbb{R}^d , radial symmetric 'cone-type' kernels should be optimal. Such kernels of the form $K(x) = (1 - \|x\|)_+$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, are studied in Klemelä and Tsybakov (2001). We denote $(t)_+ = \max(0, t)$.

In Section 2, we give an asymptotically exact estimator f_n^* and the exact constant for the Gaussian white noise model. The proofs are given in Sections 3 and 4.

2 The estimator and main result

Consider the kernel K defined for $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in [-1, 1]^d$ by

$$K(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \frac{\bar{\beta} + 1}{\alpha \bar{\beta}^2} (1 - |u|_\beta)_+, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{2^d \prod_{i=1}^d \Gamma(\frac{1}{\beta_i})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}) \prod_{i=1}^d \beta_i},$$

Γ denotes the gamma function and $|u|_\beta = \sum_{i=1}^d |u_i|^{\beta_i}$.

Lemma 1. *The kernel K satisfies $\int_{[-1,1]^d} K(u) du = 1$ and*

$$\int_{[-1,1]^d} K^2(u) du = \frac{2(\bar{\beta} + 1)}{\beta \alpha (2\bar{\beta} + 1)}.$$

This lemma is a consequence of Lemma 3 in the Appendix.

We consider the bandwidth (h_1, \dots, h_d) where

$$h_i = \left(\frac{C_0}{L_i} \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right)^{\bar{\beta}/(2\bar{\beta}+1)} \right)^{1/\beta_i}, \quad C_0 = \left(\sigma^{2\bar{\beta}} L_* \left(\frac{\bar{\beta} + 1}{\alpha \bar{\beta}^3} \right)^{\bar{\beta}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\bar{\beta}+1}},$$

with

$$L_* = \left(\prod_{j=1}^d L_j^{1/\beta_j} \right)^{\bar{\beta}}.$$

Finally, we consider the kernel estimator

$$f_n^*(t) = \frac{1}{h_1 \cdots h_d} \int_{[0,1]^d} K_n(u, t) dY_u, \quad (4)$$

defined for $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in [0, 1]^d$, where for $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in [0, 1]^d$

$$K_n(u, t) = K \left(\frac{u_1 - t_1}{h_1}, \dots, \frac{u_d - t_d}{h_d} \right) \prod_{i=1}^d g(u_i, t_i, h_i),$$

and

$$g(u_i, t_i, h_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t_i \in [h_i, 1 - h_i] \\ 2I_{[0,1]} \left(\frac{u_i - t_i}{h_i} \right) & \text{if } t_i \in [0, h_i] \\ 2I_{[-1,0]} \left(\frac{u_i - t_i}{h_i} \right) & \text{if } t_i \in (1 - h_i, 1]. \end{cases}$$

We add the functions $g(u_i, t_i, h_i)$ to account for the boundary effects. Here and later I_A denotes the indicator of the set A . We suppose that n is large enough so that $h_i < 1/2$, for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Using a change of variables and the symmetry of the function K in each of its variables, i.e. for all $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $K(u_1, \dots, u_d) = K(\dots, u_{i-1}, -u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots)$, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{h_1 \cdots h_d} \int_{[0,1]^d} K_n(u, t) du = \int_{[-1,1]^d} K(u) du = 1. \quad (5)$$

The main result of the paper is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Under the above assumptions, relation (2) holds for the estimator f_n^* defined in (4) with*

$$C(\beta, L, \sigma^2) = w(C_0).$$

Remark. For $d = 1$ the constant $w(C_0)$ coincides with that of Korostelev (1993).

We will prove this theorem in two stages. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$. In Section 3, we show that f_n^* satisfies the upper bound

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)} \mathbb{E}_f [w(\|f_n^* - f\|_\infty \psi_n^{-1})] \leq w(C_0(1 + \varepsilon)). \quad (6)$$

In Section 4, we prove the corresponding lower bound

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\hat{f}_n} \sup_{f \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)} \mathbb{E}_f [w(\|\hat{f}_n - f\|_\infty \psi_n^{-1})] \geq w(C_0(1 - \varepsilon)). \quad (7)$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ in (6) and (7) can be arbitrarily small and w is a continuous function, this proves Theorem 1.

3 Upper bound

Define for $t \in [0, 1]^d$ and $f \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)$ the bias term

$$b_n(t, f) = \mathbb{E}_f(f_n^*(t)) - f(t)$$

and the stochastic term

$$Z_n(t) = f_n^*(t) - \mathbb{E}_f(f_n^*(t)) = \frac{\sigma}{h_1 \cdots h_d \sqrt{n}} \int_{[0,1]^d} K_n(u, t) dW_u.$$

Note that $Z_n(t)$ does not depend on f . Here we prove inequality (6).

Proposition 1. *The bias term satisfies*

$$\sup_{f \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)} \psi_n^{-1} \|b_n(\cdot, f)\|_\infty \leq \frac{C_0}{2\tilde{\beta} + 1}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)$ and $t \in [0, 1]^d$. Suppose n large enough such that (5) is satisfied. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}_f(f_n^*(t)) - f(t)| &= \left| \frac{1}{h_1 \cdots h_d} \int_{[0,1]^d} K_n(u, t) (f(u) - f(t)) du \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma}{h_1 \cdots h_d} \int_{[0,1]^d} K_n(u, t) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d L_i |u_i - t_i|^{\beta_i} \right) du. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using a change of variables and the symmetry of the function K in each of its variables, we have

$$|\mathbb{E}(f_n^*(t)) - f(t)| \leq \frac{\bar{\beta} + 1}{\alpha \bar{\beta}^2} \sum_{i=1}^d L_i h_i^{\beta_i} B_i,$$

where

$$B_i = \int_{[-1,1]^d} |u_i|^{\beta_i} (1 - |u|_{\beta}) du = \frac{\alpha \bar{\beta}^3}{\beta_i (\bar{\beta} + 1) (2\bar{\beta} + 1)},$$

the last equality being obtained from Lemma 3 in the Appendix. Putting these inequalities together, we obtain, for all $t \in [0, 1]^d$

$$|b_n(t, f)| \leq \frac{C_0}{2\bar{\beta} + 1} \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\beta}}{2\bar{\beta} + 1}}.$$

□

Proposition 2. *The stochastic term satisfies for any $z > 1$ and n large enough,*

$$\sup_{f \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)} \mathbb{P}_f \left[\psi_n^{-1} \|Z_n\|_{\infty} \geq \frac{2\bar{\beta} C_0 z}{2\bar{\beta} + 1} \right] \leq D_1 n^{-\frac{(z^2 - 1)}{2\bar{\beta} + 1}} (\log n)^{1/2\bar{\beta} + 1},$$

where D_1 is a finite positive constant.

Proof. The stochastic term is a Gaussian process on $[0, 1]^d$. To prove this proposition, we use a more general lemma about the supremum of a Gaussian process (Lemma 4 in the Appendix). We have

$$\mathbb{P}_f \left[\psi_n^{-1} \|Z_n\|_{\infty} \geq \frac{2\bar{\beta} C_0 z}{2\bar{\beta} + 1} \right] = \mathbb{P}_f \left[\sup_{t \in [0,1]^d} |\xi_t| \geq r_0 \right],$$

with

$$r_0 = \frac{2\bar{\beta} C_0 z \psi_n \sqrt{n h_1 \cdots h_d}}{\sigma(2\bar{\beta} + 1)}$$

and

$$\xi_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_1 \cdots h_d}} \int_{[0,1]^d} K_n(u, t) dW_u.$$

We will apply Lemma 4 (cf. Appendix) to the process ξ_t on the sets Δ belonging to

$$S = \left\{ \Delta = \prod_{i=1}^d \Delta_i : \Delta_i \in \{[0, h_i), [h_i, 1 - h_i], (1 - h_i, 1]\} \right\}.$$

Let $\Delta \in S$. The process ξ_t on Δ has the form

$$\xi_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_1 \cdots h_d}} \int_{[0,1]^d} Q\left(\frac{u_1 - t_1}{h_1}, \dots, \frac{u_d - t_d}{h_d}\right) dW_u,$$

where $Q(u_1, \dots, u_d) = K(u_1, \dots, u_d) \prod_{i=1}^d g_i(u_i)$ and

$$g_i(u_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \Delta_i = [h_i, 1 - h_i] \\ 2I_{[0,1]} & \text{if } \Delta_i = [0, h_i] \\ 2I_{[-1,0]} & \text{if } \Delta_i = (1 - h_i, 1]. \end{cases}$$

The function Q satisfies $\|Q\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q^2 = \|K\|_2^2$. Moreover we have the following lemma which will be proved in the Appendix.

Lemma 2. *There exists a constant $D_2 > 0$ such that, for all $t \in [-1, 1]^d$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (Q(t+u) - Q(u))^2 du \leq D_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^d |t_i|^{\min(1/2, \beta_i)} \right)^2. \quad (8)$$

The process ξ_t satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4 and in particular satisfies condition (12) of that lemma with $\alpha_i = \min(1/2, \beta_i)$ in view of Lemma 2. We have by Lemma 3

$$h = \prod_{i=1}^d h_i = \frac{C_0^{1/\bar{\beta}}}{L_*^{1/\bar{\beta}}} \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right)^{1/(2\bar{\beta}+1)}, \quad \frac{r_0^2}{2\|K\|_2^2} = \frac{z^2 \log n}{2\bar{\beta} + 1}.$$

The condition $r_0 > \frac{c_2}{|\log h|^{1/2}}$ is then satisfied for n large enough. We obtain for n large enough that the quantity $N(h)$ (cf. Lemma 4) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} N(h) &\leq \frac{D_3}{h} \left(|\log h|^{1/2} \right)^{1/\bar{\beta}+1/2} \\ &\leq D_3 n^{\frac{1}{2\bar{\beta}+1}} (\log n)^{1/2\bar{\beta}+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where D_3 is a finite positive constant. Moreover the quantity $\frac{r_0}{|\log h|^{1/2}}$ is well defined and bounded independently of n , for n large enough. Then there exists $D_4 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}_f \left[\sup_{t \in \Delta} |\xi_t| \geq r_0 \right] \leq D_4 n^{-\frac{(z^2-1)}{2\bar{\beta}+1}} (\log n)^{1/2\bar{\beta}+1}$$

and we obtain Proposition 2 by noting that $\text{card}(S) = 3^d$. □

We can now complete our proof of inequality (6). Let $\Delta_{n,f} = \psi_n^{-1} \|f_n^* - f\|_\infty$ for $f \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)$. We have, since w is non-decreasing,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_f(w(\Delta_{n,f})) &= \mathbb{E}_f \left(w(\Delta_{n,f}) I_{\{\Delta_{n,f} \leq (1+\varepsilon)C_0\}} \right) + \mathbb{E}_f \left(w(\Delta_{n,f}) I_{\{\Delta_{n,f} > (1+\varepsilon)C_0\}} \right) \\ &\leq w((1+\varepsilon)C_0) + \left(\mathbb{E}_f(w^2(\Delta_{n,f})) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{P}_f[\Delta_{n,f} > (1+\varepsilon)C_0] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore to prove inequality (6), it is enough to prove the following two relations

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)} \mathbb{P}_f [\Delta_{n,f} > (1 + \varepsilon)C_0] = 0$,
- (ii) there exists a constant D_5 such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)} \mathbb{E}_f (w^2(\Delta_{n,f})) \leq D_5$.

Let $f \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)$. To prove (i), note that, for n large enough

$$\mathbb{P}_f [\Delta_{n,f} > (1 + \varepsilon)C_0] \leq \mathbb{P}_f \left[\psi_n^{-1} \|Z_n\|_\infty > \frac{2\bar{\beta}C_0(1 + \varepsilon)}{2\bar{\beta} + 1} \right],$$

which is a consequence of Proposition 1. By Proposition 2 with $z = 1 + \varepsilon$, the right-hand side of this inequality tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us prove (ii). The assumptions on w imply that there exist constants D_6 and D_7 such that

$$\mathbb{E}_f (w^2(\Delta_{n,f})) \leq D_6 + D_7 \left[\mathbb{E}_f \left((\psi_n^{-1} \|Z_n\|_\infty)^{2\gamma} \right) + (\psi_n^{-1} \|b_n(\cdot, f)\|_\infty)^{2\gamma} \right].$$

Using the fact that

$$\mathbb{E}_f \left((\psi_n^{-1} \|Z_n\|_\infty)^{2\gamma} \right) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}_f [(\psi_n^{-1} \|Z_n\|_\infty)^{2\gamma} > t] dt,$$

and Proposition 2, we prove that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_f [(\psi_n^{-1} \|Z_n\|_\infty)^{2\gamma}] < \infty$. This and Proposition 1 entail (ii).

4 Lower bound

Before proving inequality (7), we need to introduce some notation and preliminary facts. We write

$$h = C_0^{\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}} \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\bar{\beta}+1}}, \quad h_i = \left(\frac{C_0}{L_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}_i}} \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\beta}}{\bar{\beta}_i(2\bar{\beta}+1)}}.$$

Let $m_i = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2h_i(2^{1/\bar{\beta}}+1)} - 1 \right\rfloor$ with $[x]$ the integer part of x and $M = \prod_{i=1}^d m_i$. Consider the points $a(l_1, \dots, l_d) \in [0, 1]^d$ for $l_i \in \{1, \dots, m_i\}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that:

$$a(l_1, \dots, l_d) = 2(2^{\frac{1}{\bar{\beta}}} + 1) (h_1 l_1, \dots, h_d l_d).$$

To simplify the notation, we denote these points a_1, \dots, a_M and each a_j takes the form:

$$a_j = (a_{j,1}, \dots, a_{j,d}).$$

Let $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_M) \in [-1, 1]^M$. Denote by $f(\cdot, \theta)$ the function defined for $t \in [0, 1]^d$ by

$$f(t, \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^M \theta_j f_j(t),$$

where

$$f_j(t) = h^{\bar{\beta}} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{t_i - a_{j,i}}{h_i} \right|^{\beta_i} \right)_+.$$

Define the set

$$\Sigma' = \{f(\cdot, \theta) : \theta \in [-1, 1]^M\}.$$

For all $\theta \in [-1, 1]^M$, $f(\cdot, \theta) \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)$, therefore $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)$.

Suppose that $f(\cdot) = f(\cdot, \theta)$, with $\theta \in [-1, 1]^M$, in model (1), and denote $\mathbb{P}_{f(\cdot, \theta)} = \mathbb{P}_\theta$. Consider the statistics:

$$y_j = \frac{\int_{[0,1]^d} f_j(t) dY_t}{\int_{[0,1]^d} f_j^2(t) dt}, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, M\}.$$

Proposition 3. *Let $f = f(\cdot, \theta)$ in model (1).*

(i) *For all $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, y_j is a Gaussian variable with mean θ_j and variance equal to*

$$v_n^2 = \frac{2\bar{\beta} + 1}{2 \log n}.$$

(ii) *Moreover, \mathbb{P}_θ is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P}_0 and*

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}_\theta}{d\mathbb{P}_0}(y) = \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{\varphi_{v_n}(y_j - \theta_j)}{\varphi_{v_n}(y_j)},$$

where φ_{v_n} is the density of $\mathcal{N}(0, v_n^2)$ and $\mathbb{P}_0 = \mathbb{P}_{(0, \dots, 0)}$.

Proof. (i). Let $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$. Since the functions f_j have disjoint supports, the statistic y_j is equal to

$$y_j = \theta_j + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\int_{[0,1]^d} f_j(t) dW_t}{\int_{[0,1]^d} f_j^2(t) dt}.$$

Since (W_t) is a standard Brownian sheet, y_j is gaussian with mean θ_j and variance

$$v_n^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n \int_{[0,1]^d} f_j^2(t) dt} = \frac{\sigma^2}{nh^{2\bar{\beta}} h_1 \dots h_d I} \quad (9)$$

where (see Lemma 3))

$$I = \int_{[-1,1]^d} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^d |t_i|^{\beta_i}\right)_+^2 dt = \frac{2\alpha\bar{\beta}^3}{(\bar{\beta} + 1)(2\bar{\beta} + 1)}. \quad (10)$$

Therefore

$$v_n^2 = \frac{\sigma^2 L_*^{1/\bar{\beta}}}{IC_0^{\frac{2\bar{\beta}+1}{\bar{\beta}}} \log n}.$$

Using the definition of C_0 , we obtain (9).

(ii). Using the Girsanov's theorem (see (Gihman and Skorohod, 1974, Chap. VII, Section 4)), since the functions $f(\cdot, \theta)$ belong to $L^2([0, 1]^d)$, \mathbb{P}_θ is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P}_0 and we have

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}_\theta}{d\mathbb{P}_0}(y) = \exp \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \int f(t, \theta) dW_t - \frac{n}{2\sigma^2} \int f^2(t, \theta) dt \right\}.$$

Since the functions f_j have disjoint supports

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}_\theta}{d\mathbb{P}_0}(y) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{v_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^M \theta_j y_j - \frac{1}{2v_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^M \theta_j^2 \right\} = \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{\varphi_{v_n}(y_j - \theta_j)}{\varphi_{v_n}(y_j)}.$$

□

With these preliminaries, we can now prove inequality (7). For any $f \in \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)$ and for any estimator \hat{f}_n , using the monotonicity of w and the Markov inequality, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}_f \left[w \left(\psi_n^{-1} \|\hat{f}_n - f\|_\infty \right) \right] \geq w(C_0(1 - \varepsilon)) \mathbb{P}_f \left[\psi_n^{-1} \|\hat{f}_n - f\|_\infty \geq C_0(1 - \varepsilon) \right].$$

Since $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma(\tilde{\beta}, L)$, it is enough to prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n = 1$, where

$$\Lambda_n = \inf_{\hat{f}_n} \sup_{f \in \Sigma'} \mathbb{P}_f \left[\psi_n^{-1} \|\hat{f}_n - f\|_\infty \geq C_0(1 - \varepsilon) \right].$$

We have $\max_{j=1, \dots, M} |\hat{f}_n(a_j) - f(a_j)| \leq \|\hat{f}_n - f\|_\infty$. Setting $\hat{\theta}_j = \hat{f}_n(a_j)C_0\psi_n$ and using the fact that $f(a_j, \theta) = C_0\psi_n\theta_j$ for $\theta \in [-1, 1]^M$, we see that

$$\Lambda_n \geq \inf_{\hat{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^M} \sup_{\theta \in [-1, 1]^M} \mathbb{P}_\theta(C_n),$$

where $C_n = \left\{ \max_{j=1, \dots, M} |\hat{\theta}_j - \theta_j| \geq 1 - \varepsilon \right\}$ and $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\theta}_M) \in \mathbb{R}^M$ is measurable with respect to $y = \{Y_t, t \in [0, 1]^d\}$. We have

$$\Lambda_n \geq \inf_{\hat{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^M} \int_{\{-(1-\varepsilon), 1-\varepsilon\}^M} \mathbb{P}_\theta(C_n) \pi(d\theta),$$

where π is the prior distribution on θ , $\pi(d\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^M \pi_j(d\theta_j)$, where π_j is the Bernoulli distribution on $\{-(1 - \varepsilon), 1 - \varepsilon\}$ that assigns probability $1/2$ to $-(1 - \varepsilon)$ and to $(1 - \varepsilon)$. Since \mathbb{P}_θ is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P}_0 (see Proposition 3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n &\geq \inf_{\hat{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^M} \int \mathbb{E}_0 \left(I_{C_n} \frac{d\mathbb{P}_\theta}{d\mathbb{P}_0} \right) \pi(d\theta) \\ &= \inf_{\hat{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^M} \int \mathbb{E}_0 \left(I_{C_n} \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{\varphi_{v_n}(y_j - \theta_j)}{\varphi_{v_n}(y_j)} \right) \pi(d\theta). \end{aligned}$$

By the Fubini and Fatou theorems, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n &\geq 1 - \sup_{\hat{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^M} \int \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^M \varphi_{v_n}(y_j)} \left(\int \prod_{j=1}^M I_{\{|\theta_j - \hat{\theta}_j| < 1 - \varepsilon\}} \varphi_{v_n}(y_j - \theta_j) \pi_j(d\theta_j) \right) d\mathbb{P}_0 \\ &\geq 1 - \int \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^M \varphi_{v_n}(y_j)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^M \sup_{\hat{\theta}_j \in \mathbb{R}} \int I_{\{|\theta_j - \hat{\theta}_j| < 1 - \varepsilon\}} \varphi_{v_n}(y_j - \theta_j) \pi_j(d\theta_j) \right) d\mathbb{P}_0. \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to prove that the maximization problem

$$\max_{\tilde{\theta}_j \in \mathbb{R}} \int I_{\{|\tilde{\theta}_j - \theta_j| < 1 - \varepsilon\}} \varphi_{v_n}(y_j - \theta_j) \pi_j(d\theta_j)$$

admits the solution $\tilde{\theta}_j(y_j) = (1 - \varepsilon)I_{\{y_j \geq 0\}} - (1 - \varepsilon)I_{\{y_j < 0\}}$. By simple algebra, we obtain

$$\int I_{\{|\tilde{\theta}_j - \theta_j| < 1 - \varepsilon\}} \varphi_{v_n}(y_j - \theta_j) \pi_j(d\theta_j) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\varphi_{v_n}(y_j - (1 - \varepsilon)) I_{\{y_j \geq 0\}} + \varphi_{v_n}(y_j + (1 - \varepsilon)) I_{\{y_j < 0\}} \right).$$

Under \mathbb{P}_0 , the random variables y_j are i.i.d. Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(0, v_n^2)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n &\geq 1 - \prod_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\varphi_{v_n}(y_j - (1 - \varepsilon)) I_{\{y_j \geq 0\}} + \varphi_{v_n}(y_j + (1 - \varepsilon)) I_{\{y_j < 0\}} \right) dy_j \\ &\geq 1 - \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \varphi_{v_n}(y - (1 - \varepsilon)) dy \right)^M \\ &\geq 1 - \left(1 - \Phi \left(-\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{v_n} \right) \right)^M \end{aligned}$$

where Φ is the standard normal cdf. Using the relation

$$\Phi(-z) \sim \frac{1}{z\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-z^2/2)$$

for $z \rightarrow +\infty$ and the definition of v_n , we have

$$\Phi \left(-\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{v_n} \right) = \frac{v_n}{\sqrt{2\pi}(1 - \varepsilon)} n^{-\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{2\beta + 1}} (1 + o(1)).$$

Now $M \geq C' \left(\frac{n}{\log n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\beta + 1}}$, for some constant $C' > 0$, therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M \Phi \left(-\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{v_n} \right) = +\infty$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \Phi \left(-\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{v_n} \right) \right)^M = 0,$$

which completes the proof of the lower bound.

5 Appendix

Proof of Lemma 2. Let $t \in [-1, 1]^d$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We have $Q(t+u) - Q(u) = D_8 \left(\tilde{Q}(t+u) - \tilde{Q}(u) \right)$, where $\tilde{Q}(u) = (1 - |u|_\beta)_+ \prod_{i=1}^d I_{G_i}(u_i)$, with $G_i \in \{[0, 1], [-1, 1], [-1, 0]\}$ and D_8 is a positive constant. We have:

- If $|t + u|_\beta \geq 1$ and $|u|_\beta \geq 1$, then $\tilde{Q}(t + u) - \tilde{Q}(u) = 0$.
- If $|t + u|_\beta \leq 1$ and $|u|_\beta \geq 1$, then

$$0 \leq \tilde{Q}(t + u) - \tilde{Q}(u) = 1 - |t + u|_\beta \leq |u|_\beta - |t + u|_\beta \leq |t|_\beta.$$

- If $|t + u|_\beta \geq 1$ and $|u|_\beta \leq 1$, then for the same reason $|\tilde{Q}(t + u) - \tilde{Q}(u)| \leq |t|_\beta$.

Thus to prove (8), it is enough to bound from above the integral

$$I(t) = \int \left(\tilde{Q}(t + u) - \tilde{Q}(u) \right)^2 I_{A_t} du,$$

where $A_t = \{u \in \mathbb{R} : |t + u|_\beta \leq 1, |u|_\beta \leq 1\}$. We have $I(t) = B_1(t) + B_2(t)$ where

$$B_1(t) = \int \left(\tilde{Q}(t + u) - \tilde{Q}(u) \right)^2 I_{A_t \cap \tilde{A}_t} du,$$

$$B_2(t) = \int \left(\tilde{Q}(t + u) - \tilde{Q}(u) \right)^2 I_{A_t \cap \tilde{A}_t^C} du,$$

$$\tilde{A}_t = \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R} : \tilde{Q}(u) \neq 0, \tilde{Q}(t + u) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

We have

$$B_1(t) \leq 2^d (|t|_\beta)^2 \leq 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^d |t_i|^{\min(\beta_i, 1/2)} \right)^2,$$

since $\text{mes}\{u \in \mathbb{R} : |u|_\beta \leq 1\} \leq 2$, where $\text{mes}(\cdot)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure. Moreover we have $\text{mes}(A_t \cap \tilde{A}_t^C) \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^d |t_i|$ and then

$$B_2(t) \leq 2^d \sum_{i=1}^d |t_i| \leq D_9 \left(\sum_{i=1}^d |t_i|^{\min(\beta_i, 1/2)} \right)^2$$

with D_9 a positive constant. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3. (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik, 1965, formula 4.635.2) For a continuous function $f : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Delta_0} f \left(x_1^{\beta_1} + \dots + x_d^{\beta_d} \right) x_1^{p_1-1} \dots x_d^{p_d-1} dx_1 \dots dx_d \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta_1 \dots \beta_d} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1}{\beta_1}\right) \dots \Gamma\left(\frac{p_d}{\beta_d}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_1}{\beta_1} + \dots + \frac{p_d}{\beta_d}\right)} \int_0^1 f(x) x^{\frac{p_1}{\beta_1} + \dots + \frac{p_d}{\beta_d} - 1} dx \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta_0 = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1]^d : x_1^{\beta_1} + \dots + x_d^{\beta_d} \leq 1 \right\}$$

and the β_i and p_i are positive numbers.

Lemma 4. Let $Q : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $\|Q\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q^2 < \infty$, Δ be a compact set $\Delta = \prod_{i=1}^d \Delta_i$ with Δ_i intervals of $[0, +\infty)$ of length $T_i > 0$ and W be the standard Brownian sheet on Δ . Let h_1, \dots, h_d be arbitrary positive numbers and we write $h = \prod_{i=1}^d h_i$. We consider the gaussian process defined for $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \Delta$:

$$X_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_1 \dots h_d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Q \left(\frac{u_1 - t_1}{h_1}, \dots, \frac{u_d - t_d}{h_d} \right) dW_u, \quad (11)$$

with $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d)$. Let $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in (0, \infty)^d$ and let α be the number such that $1/\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^d 1/\alpha_i$. Let $T = \prod_{i=1}^d T_i$. We suppose that there exists $0 < c_1 < \infty$ such that, for $t \in [-1, 1]^d$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (Q(t+u) - Q(u))^2 du \leq \left(c_1 \sum_{i=1}^d |t_i|^{\alpha_i} \right)^2. \quad (12)$$

Then there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$, such that for $b \geq c_2/|\log h|^{1/2}$ and h small enough,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in \Delta} |X_t| \geq b \right] \leq N(h) \exp \left(-\frac{b^2}{2\|Q\|_2^2} \right) \exp \left(\frac{c_2 b}{\|Q\|_2^2 |\log h|^{1/2}} \right), \quad (13)$$

where $c_2 = c_3(c_4 + 1/\sqrt{\alpha})$, c_3 and c_4 do not depend on h_1, \dots, h_d , T and α , \mathbb{P} denotes the distribution of $\{X_t, t \in \Delta\}$ and

$$N(h) = 2 \prod_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{T_i}{h_i} \left(c_1 d |\log h|^{1/2} \right)^{1/\alpha_i} + 1 \right).$$

Note that if the $h_i/T_i \rightarrow 0$, then for the h_i/T_i small enough

$$N(h) \leq 2^{d+1} \frac{T}{h} \left(c_1 d |\log h|^{1/2} \right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

This lemma is close to various results on the supremum of Gaussian processes (see Adler (1990), Lifshits (1995), Piterbarg (1996)). The closest result is Theorem 8-1 of Piterbarg (1996) which, however, cannot be used directly since there is no explicit expression for the constants that in our case depend on h and T and may tend to 0 or ∞ . Also the explicit dependence of the constants on α is given here. This can be useful for the purpose of adaptive estimation.

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$ and $N_1(\lambda, S)$ be the minimal number of hyperrectangles with edges of length $h_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{c_1 d} \right)^{1/\alpha_1}, \dots, h_d \left(\frac{\lambda}{c_d d} \right)^{1/\alpha_d}$ that cover a set $S \subset \Delta$. We have

$$N_1(\lambda, \Delta) \leq \prod_{i=1}^d \left(\left\lceil \frac{T_i}{h_i} \left(\frac{c_1 d}{\lambda} \right)^{1/\alpha_i} \right\rceil + 1 \right),$$

where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of the real x . Denote by $B_1, \dots, B_{N_1(\lambda, \Delta)}$ such hyperrectangles that cover Δ and choose $\lambda = |\log h|^{-1/2}$, well defined for $h < 1$. We have, for $b \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in \Delta} |X_t| \geq b \right] \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N_1(\lambda, \Delta)} \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in B_j} |X_t| \geq b \right] \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N_1(\lambda, \Delta)} \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in B_j} X_t \geq b \right]. \quad (14)$$

Let $j \in \{1, \dots, N_1(\lambda, \Delta)\}$. Using Corollary 14.2 of Lifshits (1995), we obtain for $b \geq 4\sqrt{2}D(B_j, \sigma_j/2)$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in B_j} X_t \geq b \right] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_j^2} \left(b - 4\sqrt{2}D(B_j, \sigma_j/2) \right)^2 \right), \quad (15)$$

where $\sigma_j^2 = \sup_{t \in B_j} \mathbb{E}(X_t^2)$,

$$D(B_j, \sigma_j/2) = \int_0^{\sigma_j/2} (\log N_{B_j}(u))^{1/2} du,$$

where $N_{B_j}(u)$ is the minimal number of ρ -balls of radius u necessary to cover B_j and ρ is the semi-metric defined by

$$\rho(s, t) = \left(\mathbb{E} \left[(X_s - X_t)^2 \right] \right)^{1/2}, \quad s, t \in \Delta,$$

where \mathbb{E} is the expectation with respect to \mathbb{P} . Let us evaluate σ_j^2 . We have, by a change of variables,

$$\sigma_j^2 = \sup_{t \in B_j} \frac{1}{h_1 \cdots h_d} \int_{\Delta} Q^2 \left(\frac{u_1 - t_1}{h_1}, \dots, \frac{u_d - t_d}{h_d} \right) du \leq \|Q\|_2^2. \quad (16)$$

Let $s, t \in B_j$. For h small enough, we have $\left| \frac{s_i - t_i}{h_i} \right| < 1$ and, using (12) and a change of variables, we obtain

$$\rho(s, t) \leq c_1 \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{s_i - t_i}{h_i} \right|^{\alpha_i}. \quad (17)$$

In view of (17), we have a rough bound for h small enough

$$N_{B_j}(u) \leq N_1(u, B_j) \leq \prod_{i=1}^d \left(1 + \left\lceil \left(\frac{\lambda}{u} \right)^{1/\alpha_i} \right\rceil \right).$$

Thus for h small enough

$$\begin{aligned} 4\sqrt{2}D(B_j, \sigma_j/2) &\leq 4\sqrt{2} \int_0^\lambda [\log(N_1(u, B_j))]^{1/2} du \leq 4\lambda\sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \log(1 + u^{-1/\alpha_i}) \right]^{1/2} du \\ &\leq 4\lambda\sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^1 [\log(1 + u^{-1/\alpha_i})]^{1/2} du. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 [\log(1 + u^{-1/\alpha_i})]^{1/2} du &= \int_0^1 \left[\log(1 + u^{1/\alpha_i}) - \frac{1}{\alpha_i} \log u \right]^{1/2} du \\ &\leq \sqrt{\log 2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_i}} \int_0^1 |\log x|^{1/2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have for $j \in \{1, \dots, N_1(\lambda, \Delta)\}$

$$4\sqrt{2}D(B_j, \sigma_j/2) \leq \lambda c_3(c_4 + 1/\sqrt{\alpha}) = c_2\lambda, \quad (18)$$

where c_3 and c_4 are positive constants independent of j , T , h and α . Substituting (15), (16) and (18) into inequality (14), we obtain, for $b \geq c_2\lambda$ and for h small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{t \in \Delta} |X_t| \geq b \right] &\leq 2N_1(\lambda, \Delta) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\|Q\|_2^2} (b - c_2\lambda)^2 \right), \\ &\leq N(h) \exp \left(-\frac{b^2}{2\|Q\|_2^2} \right) \exp \left(\frac{c_2\lambda b}{\|Q\|_2^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then for $b \geq \frac{c_2}{|\log h|^{1/2}}$ and for h small enough, we obtain (13). □

References

- Adler, R. J. (1990). *An introduction to continuity, extrema, and related topics for general Gaussian processes*. Institute of Mathematical Statistics Lecture Notes—Monograph Series, 12. Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, CA.
- Barron, A., Birgé, L., and Massart, P. (1999). Risk bounds for model selection via penalization. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 113(3):301–413.
- Bertin, K. (2004). Minimax exact constant in sup-norm for nonparametric regression with random design. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 123(2):225–242.
- Donoho, D. L. (1994). Asymptotic minimax risk for sup-norm loss: solution via optimal recovery. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 99(2):145–170.
- Gihman, I. I. and Skorohod, A. V. (1974). *The theory of stochastic processes. I*. Springer-Verlag, New York. Translated from the Russian by S. Kotz, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 210.
- Gradshteyn, I. S. and Ryzhik, I. M. (1965). *Table of integrals, series, and products*. Fourth edition prepared by Ju. V. Geronimus and M. Ju. Ceĭtlin. Translated from the Russian by Scripta Technica, Inc. Translation edited by Alan Jeffrey. Academic Press, New York.
- Ibragimov, I. A. and Hasminskii, R. Z. (1981). *Statistical estimation*, volume 16 of *Applications of Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York. Asymptotic theory, Translated from the Russian by Samuel Kotz.
- Kerkycharian, G., Lepski, O., and Picard, D. (2001). Nonlinear estimation in anisotropic multi-index denoising. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 121(2):137–170.
- Klemelä, J. and Tsybakov, A. B. (2001). Sharp adaptive estimation of linear functionals. *Ann. Statist.*, 29(6):1567–1600.
- Korostelev, A. (1993). An asymptotically minimax regression estimator in the uniform norm up to a constant. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 38(4):875–882.
- Korostelev, A. and Nussbaum, M. (1999). The asymptotic minimax constant for sup-norm loss in nonparametric density estimation. *Bernoulli*, 5(6):1099–1118.
- Lepski, O. V. (1992). On problems of adaptive estimation in white Gaussian noise. In *Topics in nonparametric estimation*, volume 12 of *Adv. Soviet Math.*, pages 87–106. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- Lepski, O. V. and Tsybakov, A. B. (2000). Asymptotically exact nonparametric hypothesis testing in sup-norm and at a fixed point. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 117(1):17–48.
- Lifshits, M. A. (1995). *Gaussian random functions*, volume 322 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Neumann, M. H. and von Sachs, R. (1997). Wavelet thresholding in anisotropic function classes and application to adaptive estimation of evolutionary spectra. *Ann. Statist.*, 25(1):38–76.

- Nussbaum, M. (1986). On the nonparametric estimation of regression functions that are smooth in a domain in \mathbf{R}^k . *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 31(1):118–125.
- Piterbarg, V. I. (1996). *Asymptotic methods in the theory of Gaussian processes and fields*, volume 148 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI. Translated from the Russian by V. V. Piterbarg, Revised by the author.
- Stone, C. J. (1982). Optimal global rates of convergence for nonparametric regression. *Ann. Statist.*, 10(4):1040–1053.